Unlocking Data Insights -Introduction to Data-Centric Al Learning from data streams: Use cases





dell'Università e della Ricerca



the European Union

NextGenerationEU

Artificial Intelligence Research







Learning from data streams: Use cases Executive Summary

- Chunk online learning Retail sector
- Stream online learning Business Process
 Management









E-commerce, retail etc.

New customer acquisition





Preventing customer churns

Churn prediction: SOTA

Machine Learning (Random Forest, XGBoost,etc.)

RFM-based approach



Several studies in different domains







Neural Network (LSTM, CNN, etc.)

Telecommunication

IIII Banking&Insurance



Churn prediction: SOTA

Machine Learning (Random Forest, XGBoost,etc.)

RFM-based approach



But, a few studies have explored the problem in



Neural Network (LSTM, CNN, etc.)



Retail





Distribution shift Model adaptation

Customer profile explanation













Paul







1. Smart data from raw data



1.4 Sale Receipt Stream

1. Smart data from raw data

Customer (•_•) $\mathbf{\mathbf{\dot{s}}}$

Elliot Elliot

Paul

Paul

Paul

Paul

Paul



Timestamp 05.01.23 12:00:23 05.01.23 12:01:23 Mary Elliot 05.01.23 20:00:01 05.02.23 08:00:25 05.03.23 09:00:25 Mary 05.03.23 19:01:05 05.03.23 20:01:05 05.03.23 20:01:05 05.04.23 21:01:05 Mary 05.06.23 11:21:00 Mary 05.07.23 19:00:05 05.07.23 20:01:05

Purchas	e basket
) ,3,5	101 ,2,7
) ,3,5	. ,1,4
. ,3,7	
) ,5,6	
,3,2	,2,6
 ,4,7	▶★★ ,1,8
,2,7	
** ,5,1	
) ,2,1	
) ,1,1	,3,4
** ,5,1	
,5,1	,2,4









Timestamp ,3,5 05.01.23 12:00:23 ,5,6 05.02.23 08:00:25 05.03.23 19:01:05 ,4,7 05.03.23 20:01:05

05.03.23 20:01:05

Purchase basket 2,7 ,1,8 ,2,7 *****,5,1





Paul

- V

- 05.03.23 20:01:05
- 05.03.23 20:01:05









Purchase basket

- ,2,7 ,3,5 ,5,6 ,4,7 ,1,8 ,2,7
- *****,5,1

CURRENT TIME = 05.04.23 24:00:00









First scenario - last receipt



The churn status of a customer is evaluated at midnight of each day

Second scenario - temporary churn status



Churn alert

2 days





Eliot 05.01.23 20:00:01 Last receipt



The churn status of a customer is evaluated at midnight of each day

• • •

	Customer Trace	Timestamp	Label
D Paul	05.01.23 () ,3,5 () ,2,7	05.01.23 24:00:00	Non-churn
😥 Mary	05.01.23 (1,4) 12:01:23	05.01.23 24:00:00	Non-churn
Mary	05.01.23 () ,3,5 () ,1,4	05.02.23 24:00:00	Non-churn
Elliot	05.01.23 20:00:01 (3,7)	05.01.23 24:00:00	Churn
Elliot	05.01.23 20:00:01 (3,7)	05.02.23 24:00:00	Churn
D Paul	05.01.23 12:00:23 05.01.23 12:00:23 ひ5.01.23 12:00:23 ひ5,3,5 ひ5,5 ひ5,	, 05.02.23 24:00:00	Non-churn

• • •

• • •



Handling drifting data ADWIN to monitor the performance of a churn predictive model along a Sale Receipt Stream



Dataset description

	UK retail	Brazilia
#customers	5853	2913
#products	4619	33041
#sale receipts	36597	6159
#basket items	776637	7483
#daily sale receipts (avg ± stdev)	60.59±23.64	10.21±6.
#basket items per sale receipt (avg ± stdev)	21.22±22.97	1.21±0.0
time between sale receipts of a customer (avg ± stdev)	115.96±108. 28	88.55±1 ⁻ 31



TSUNAMI vs Baseline

	Dataset	Conf.	drift	F non-churn	F churn	macroF
	UK retail	TSUNAMI	4	0.69	0.67	0.68
		RF	1	0.65	0.49	0.57
		LR	1	0.69	0.64	0.66
		XGB	0	0.62	0.72	0.67
	Brazilian retail	TSUNAMI	2	0.54	0.89	0.71
		RF	3	0.41	0.89	0.65
		LR	1	0.51	0.87	0.69
		XGB	1	0.45	0.88	0.66



Keeping the model accurate Fine-tuning to update the deep neural predictive model





ΔmacroF (axis Y) of TSUNAMI vs RF, LR and XGB measured on customer traces labeled daily during the online stage (axis X)





4. Customer profile explanation

- 8

- 6

- 5

- 4

- 3

- 2

- 1

Brazilian retail



The heat-map of the daily ranking of the importance of RFM features in Brazilian retail

4. Customer profile explanation



Brazilian retail: Shapley values (axis X) of input features (axis Y) computed for decisions produced with the online churn classification model learned by TSUNAMI. Decisions explanations are plotted with respect to the feature value and grouped with respect to the model used to produce decisions



Brazilian retail: global feature importance plot grouped with respect to the churn classification model used to produce decisions



YASSIN DARWIN

DARWIN: An online deep learning approach to handle concept drifts in predictive process monitoring Vincenzo Pasquadibisceglie, Annalisa Appice, Giovanna Castellano, Donato Malerba, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Volume 123, Part C, 2023

What's problem

Predictive Process Monitoring (PPM)* concerns a set of techniques developed in the area of process mining, in order to predict the outcome of a business process based on historical raw data

*C. Di Francescomarino, C. Ghidini, Predictive process monitoring, Process Mining Handbook. LNBIP 448 (2022) 320–346



e.g. What is the outcome of this trace?

Type of prediction



e.g. What is the completion time of the trace?

Type of prediction



Confirm Receive Check Order Order Order

e.g. What is the next activity?

Type of prediction



The pipeline of PPM project Training Stage







Model optimization

Predictive model

The pipeline of PPM project Runtime Stage



Ongoing traces





Encoding

Model prediction

What's problem

The majority of PPM approaches operates in a static context where the analyst has the entire event log to analyze









Petri Nets & Transition System

Type of approach



Machine learning alghoritms

Random Forest, XGB, etc.



Deep neural networks LSTM, CNN, GNN etc.

The existing work in online setting

Next-activity prediction **Pauwels and Calders (2021)**

Outcome prediction Maisenbacher and Weidlich (2017)

Rizzi et al. (2022)

The existing work in online setting Pauwels and Calders (2021)



Simple Neural Network and dynamic Bayesian networks

*Bose R.P.J.C., van der Aalst W.M.P., Zliobaite I., Pechenizkiy M. Dealing with concept drifts in process mining IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst., 25 (2014), pp. 154-171





Re-train and Fine-tuning

Concept drift based on work of Bose et al.*





The existing work in online setting Pauwels and Calders (2021)

010 001 100 **One-Hot** encoding

*Bose R.P.J.C., van der Aalst W.M.P., Zliobaite I., Pechenizkiy M. Dealing with concept drifts in process mining IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst., 25 (2014), pp. 154-171



Latency missing



The existing work in online setting Outcome



Adaptive Hoeffding tree Adaptive Random Forest

*Bose R.P.J.C., van der Aalst W.M.P., Zliobaite I., Pechenizkiy M. Dealing with concept drifts in process mining IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst., 25 (2014), pp. 154-171

Tree based solution





Solution

Develop a Predictive Process Monitoring approach that analyses an event stream, in order to update the predictive model over time





RAW

Extract smart data from raw event data







Keeping the model accurate on new data



Concept drift understanding

A PPM method that detects concept drifts and adapts a deep neural model to concept drifts


$\sigma_1: \langle A, B, C, D, E, F, \bot \rangle^{70}$

Motivating Example

- $\sigma_2: \langle A, B, C, D, E, F, G, \bot \rangle^{10}$
- $\sigma_3:\langle A,B,C,D,F,\bot\rangle^{50}$
- $\sigma_4:\langle A,B,D,F,\bot\rangle^{50}$
- $\sigma_5:\langle A,B,D,F,G,\bot\rangle^{80}$





Motivating Example



Motivating Example



ABCDEFG

1.00%

Motivating Example

-	Activity
-	В
	С
	D
	Ε
	F
	G
	\bot

	-	
Static	DARWIN	Support
1.000	1.000	234
0.618	0.660	105
0.447	0.691	235
0.000	0.521	71
0.145	0.732	235
0.000	0.512	90
0.739	0.781	235





DARWIN - addressed challenges Handling drifting data



stream

ADWIN to monitor the performance of a next-activity predictive model along an event

DARWIN - addressed challenges

Extracting smart data from raw event data

Word2Vec embedding, to handle categorical data (i.e. sequence of activities)









W2V vs One-Hot





Re-training + One-Hot-Encoding Fine-tuning + One-Hot-Encoding

W2V vs One-Hot

DARWIN - addressed challenges Keeping the model accurate



Fine-tuning to update the deep neural predictive model and the W2V model on the new data by mitigating the catastrophic forgetting

DARWIN vs Baseline Keeping the model accurate

Event stream	Α	R	Ε	Τ	\mathbf{V}	T/V	$\mathbf{T_{avgL}}$	T _{avgD} (days)	Σ_{totD} (days)
BPI20D (van Dongen, 2020)	17	2	56437	10500	99	106.06	5	11.5	889
BPI20T (van Dongen, 2020)	51	2	86581	7065	1478	4.78	12	87.4	1792
BPI20R (van Dongen, 2020)	19	2	36796	6886	89	77.37	5	8.2	941
BPI20I (van Dongen, 2020)	34	2	72151	6449	753	8.56	11	86.5	1313
BPI20P (van Dongen, 2020)	29	2	18246	2099	202	10.39	12	36.8	772
Helpdesk (Polato, 2017)	14	22	21348	4580	226	20.26	5	40.9	1451
BPI13C (Steeman, 2013)	7	585	6660	1487	327	4.54	4	179.2	2332
BPI13I (Steeman, 2013)	13	1440	65533	7554	2278	3.31	9	12.1	784
BPI12L (van Dongen, 2012)	36	69	262200	13087	4366	2.99	20	8.6	165
BPIC18G (van Dongen and Borchert, 2018)	23	117	569209	29059	9372	19.58	20	143.5	576
BPIC18P (van Dongen and Borchert, 2018)	10	111	132963	14750	3615	9.01	9	196	976
BPIC18C (van Dongen and Borchert, 2018)	7	113	161296	43808	59	742.51	4	57.3	1051

DARWIN vs Baseline Keeping the model accurate

Stream	Fscore				TIME				
	DARWIN	AHT	ARF	SRP	DARWIN	AHT	ARF	SRP	
BPI20D	0.425	0.397	0.402	0.415	5572.26	77.02	179.76	245.51	
BPI20T	0.296	0.291	0.338	0.341	8036.72	229.78	582.37	667.84	
BPI20R	0.334	0.353	0.351	0.360	2709.17	33.86	81.65	134.01	
BPI20I	0.387	0.373	0.401	0.414	5977.03	150.33	359.09	482.28	
BPI20P	0.411	0.403	0.451	0.457	1439.05	11.64	31.56	63.73	
Helpdesk	0.308	0.285	0.306	0.299	1819.20	13.77	32.93	69.70	
BPI13C	0.424	0.234	0.371	0.397	762.55	2.19	7.72	17.79	
BPI13I	0.520	0.419	0.453	0.391	4374.57	153.34	243.25	392.78	
BPI12L	0.675	0.452	0.637	0.644	22952.66	1921.17	3235.36	2942.48	
BPIC18G	0.362	0.361	0.402	0.390	53934.60	9746.56	14453.67	14567.99	
BPIC18P	0.348	0.304	0.341	0.336	6230.80	612.04	855.52	1043.50	
BPIC18C	0.484	0.446	0.482	0.469	10117.42	929.35	934.17	1254.31	

DARWIN vs Baseline Keeping the model accurate



DARWIN - addressed challenges Keeping the model accurate



DARWIN

Static Support

DARWIN - addressed challenges Concept drift understanding



To **understand** how the process model changes by causing the concept drift



DARWIN - addressed challenges Concept drift understanding



Unlocking Data Insights -Introduction to Data-Centric Al Self-Supervised Learning

 \odot

the European Union



Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca



Future Artificial Intelligence Research



refererce: Deep Learning with PyTorch and Lightning - Chapter 8





Self-Supervised Learning Executive Summary

- Getting started with Self-Supervised Learning
- What is Contrastive Learning?
- SimCLR architecture













What's problem

Machine Learning



Unsupervised

What's problem

Supervised

Labels cost time and money



Unsupervised

A lot of unlabelled data



What's problem

ImageNet dataset

Over 14 million images

But...

+ workers + times





A lot of images and scans

But...

labeling very hard

What's problem

Medical dataset



The question is

What if we can come up with a new method that can work without needing so many labels, such as unsupervised learning, but gives output that is as highimpact as supervised learning?



Self-supervised learning

Self-supervised learning

Self-Supervised Learning is the latest paradigm in Machine Learning and is the most advanced frontier. While it has been theorized for a few years, it's only in the last year that it has been able to show results comparable to supervised learning and has become touted as the future of Machine Learning.

Self-supervised learning

- The foundation of Self-Supervised Learning for images is that we can make machines learn a true representation even without labels
- With a minuscule number of labels (as low as 1% of the dataset), we can achieve as good results as supervised models can
- This unlocks the untapped potential in millions of datasets that are sitting unused due to the lack of highquality labels



The **future** of Machine Learning has been hotly contested given the spectacular success of **Deep Learning** methods such as CNN and RNN in recent years



They don't compare very well to humans on tasks such as **reasoning**, **deduction**, and **comprehension**



They require an **enormous amount of well-labeled** training data to learn even something as simple as image recognition



Unsurprisingly, that is not the way humans learn. A child does not need millions of labeled images as input before it can recognize objects. The incredible ability of the human brain to generate its own new labels based on a minuscule amount of initial information is unparalleled when compared to Machine Learning

Getting started with Self-Supervised Learning Solutions for AI

Reinforcement Learning

The focus is on constructing a game-like environment and making a machine learn how to navigate through the environment without explicit directions

Self-Supervised Learning

The focus is on making the machine learn a bit like a human by trying to create its own labels and continue to learn adaptively

Self-Supervised Learning is the brainchild of Yann LeCun

The core concept is that we can learn in multiple dimensions of data







In supervised learning, we have data (x) and labels (y), and we can do a lot of things, such as prediction, classification, and object detection



In unsupervised learning, we only have data (x), and we can only do clustering types of models. In unsupervised learning, we have the advantage that we don't need costly labels, but the kinds of models we can build are limited

What if we start in an unsupervised manner and then move on to supervised learning?

Suppose we have an image dataset such as CIFAR-10 that consists of 10 image classes (classes such as bird, plane, dog and cat) distributed over 65.000 labels



The machine must learn to recognize these 10 classes. What if instead of providing 65.000 labels, as we did for this dataset, We only provide **10 labels (one for each class)** and the machine finds images similar to those classes and adds labels to them?



If we can do that, then the machine is self-supervising its learning process and will be able to solve previously unsolved problems



Contrastive Learning

• The idea of understanding an image is to get an image of a particular kind (say a dog) and then we can recognize all other dogs by reasoning that they share the same representation or structure • For example, if you show a child who is not yet able to talk or understand language (say, less than 2 years old) a picture of a dog (or a real dog for that matter) and then give them a pack of cards with a collection of animals, which includes dogs, cats, elephants, and birds, and ask the child which picture is similar to the first one, it is most likely that the child could easily pick the card with a dog on it And the child would be able to do so even without you explaining that this picture equals "dog" (in other

words, without supplying any new labels)
Contrastive Learning

There have been various proposed architectures for contrastive learning that have had spectacular results. Some popular ones are SimCLR, CPC, YADIM, and NOLO. In next slides, we will see the architecture that is fast becoming a de facto standard for contrastive learning – SimCLR



SimCLR architecture

SimCLR stands for Simple Contrastive Learning Architecture*

*Chen, Ting, et al. "A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations." International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 2020.

The architecture has shown in relation to the ImageNet dataset that we can achieve 93% accuracy with just 1% of labels





Representation

Data Augmentation



The architecture consists of the following building blocks:

1. As a first step, **data augmentation** is performed on the group of random images. Various data augmentation tasks are performed. Some of them are standard ones, such as rotating the images, cropping them, and changing the color by making them grayscale. Other more complex data augmentation tasks, such as Gaussian Blur, are also performed

to each other and which are dissimilar. that we can be apriori sure of

- The architecture consists of the following building blocks:
 - This data augmentation step is very important since we want to make the model learn the true representation reliably and consistently. Another, and rather important, reason is that we don't have labels in the dataset. So, we
 - have no way of knowing which images are actually similar
 - And thus, having various augmented images from a single image creates a "true" set of similar images for the model

The architecture consists of the following building blocks:

2. The next step is then to create a batch of images that contains similar and dissimilar images. As an analogy, this can be thought of as a batch that has some positive ions and some negative ions, and we want to isolate them by moving a magical magnet over them (SimCLR).

The architecture consists of the following building blocks:

3. This process is followed by an encoder that is nothing but a CNN architecture. ResNet architectures such as ResNet-18 or ResNet-50 are most commonly used for this operation. However, we strip away the last layer and use the output after the last average pool layer. This encoder helps us learn the image representations

projection head), which is a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) maximum amount of information)

- The architecture consists of the following building blocks:
 - 4. This is followed by the header module (also known as
 - model. This is used to map contrastive loss to the space
 - where the representations from the previous step are
 - applied. Our MLP can be a single hidden layer neural
 - network (as in SimCLR) or a 3-layer network (as it is in
 - SimCLR2). You can even experiment with larger neural
 - networks. This step is used to balance alignment (keeping similar images together) and uniformity (preserve the

The architecture consists of the following building blocks:

5. The key in this step is the contrastive loss function that is used for contrastive prediction. Its job is to identify other positive images in a dataset. The specialized loss function used for this is **NT-Xent (the normalized temperature-scaled, cross-entropy loss)**. This loss function helps us measure how the system is learning in subsequent epochs

The architecture consists of the following building blocks:

These steps describe the SimCLR architecture and, as you may have noted, it works purely on unlabeled images. The magic of SimCLR is realized when you fine-tune it for a downstream task such as image classification. This architecture can learn features for you, and then you can use those features for any task

SimCLR architecture SimCLR model for image recognition

We have seen that SimCLR can do the following:

The SimCLR architecture involves the following steps, which we implement in code:

- 1. Collecting the dataset
- 2. Setting up data augmentation
- 3. Loading the dataset
- 4. Configuring training
- 5. Training the SimCLR model
- 6. Evaluating the performance



Thank for the attention







https://www.linkedin.com/in/vincenzo-pasquadibisceglie-252923ba/

vincenzo.pasquadibisceglie@uniba.it